arXiv:math/0510316v1 [math.AG] 15 Oct 2005

The Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology and the de Rham cohomology

BinYong Hsie
LMAM, Department of Mathematics, Peking university
Bejing, 100871, P.R.China

February 2, 2008

Abstract

The author constructs a theory of dagger formal schemes over R and then defines the de Rham cohomology for flat dagger formal schemes X with integral and regular reductions $\bar{X}$ which generalizes the Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology. Finally the author gets Lefschetz' fixed pointed formula for X with certain conditions.

1 Introduction

Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with R its ring of integers and $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ its residue field. Let π be a uniformizer of R.

Let $\bar{X}$ be a regular algebraic variety over k. Define the zeta-function $Z(\bar{X}|k,t)$ of $\bar{X}$ by $Z(\bar{X}|k,t) = \exp(\sum\limits_{s\geq 1} \frac{N_s}{s} t^s)$ with N_s the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$-points of $\bar{X}$.

Weil's conjecture says that $Z(\bar{X}|k,t)$ is a rational function. To prove it, one tries to find suitable cohomology such that the Lefschetz' fixed points formula holds. When $\bar{X}$ is an affine integral and regular variety over k, the Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology is such a cohomology.

Let $\bar{X} = \mathrm{Spec}(\bar{A})$ with $\bar{A}$ integral and regular over k. Let A be a flat w.c.f.g. algebra over $R = \mathrm{W}(k)$ which is a lift of $\bar{A}$. Note that every flat lift of $\bar{A}$ is R-isomorphic to A, and that $\Omega^1(A) = \Omega^1(A/R)$ is a projective A-module. One can define the de Rham complex $\Omega^{\cdot}(A)$

$$0 \to \Omega^0(A) \xrightarrow[d^0]{} \Omega^1(A) \xrightarrow[d^1]{} \Omega^2(A) \to \cdots$$

with $\Omega^i(A) = \bigwedge^i \Omega^1(A)$. $H^i_{\mathrm{MW}}(\bar{X},K) := H^i(\Omega(A)) \otimes_R K$ is the definition of the Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology. Note that $H^i(\Omega(A)) \otimes_R K = H^i(\Omega(A) \otimes_R K)$. If F is a lift of the Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^q$ over $\bar{A}$ to A, then F^* induces an isomorphism over $H^i_{\mathrm{MW}}(\bar{X},K)$ which does not depend on the choice of lift F. Moreover, $(\mathrm{F}^*)^{-1}$ is a nuclear operator, and satisfies

$$N_s = \sum (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}((q^n (\mathrm{F}^*)^{-1})^s | H^i_{\mathrm{MW}}(\bar{X},K)).$$

We find a generalization of the Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology. We construct a theory of dagger formal scheme over R and define the de Rham cohomology for flat dagger formal schemes X with integral and regular reductions.

An affine dagger formal scheme is a pair $(\mathrm{Spec}(A\otimes_R k), \mathcal{O}^\dagger)$, where A is a w.c.f.g. algebra over R, and $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$ is a sheaf over $\bar{X}=\mathrm{Spec}(A\otimes_R k)$ such that $\mathcal{O}^\dagger(\bar{X}_{\bar{f}})=A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$. Let $\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$ denote the pair $(\mathrm{Spec(A\otimes_R k)}, \mathcal{O}^\dagger)$. $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$ is called the structure sheaf of $\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$. One can show $\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$ is a locally ringed space. A dagger formal scheme is a locally ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger)$ in which every point has an open neighborhood U, such that $(U, \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger|U)$ is an affine dagger formal scheme.

When X is flat, separated and Noetherian over R with $\bar{X}$ integral and regular, the sheaf Ω^1 over X is locally free. So one can define the de Rham complex $\Omega^\cdot$ and the de Rham cohomology $H^i_{dR}(X;K):=\mathbb{H}^i(X,(\Omega^\cdot\otimes_R K))$. When $X=\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$, we have $H^i_{dR}(X,K)=H^i_{\mathrm{MW}}(\bar{X},K)$. When R is $\mathrm{W}(k)$, and there is a lift F of the Frobenius of $\bar{X}$ to X, we have the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let X be a flat separated and Noetherian dagger formal scheme with $\bar{X}=X\otimes_R k$ regular and integral of dimension n. Then F^* is an isomorphism, $(\mathrm{F}^*)^{-1}$ is nuclear over $H^i_{dR}(X,K)$. And we have the following formula*

$$N_s(\bar{X})=\sum(-1)^i\mathrm{tr}((q^n(\mathrm{F}^*)^{-1})^s|H^i_{dR}(X,K)).$$

2 Weakly complete finitely generated algebra

2.1 Definition of weakly complete finitely generated algebra

For a nonnegative integer n, let us define

$$\mathbb{T}_n:=\{\sum_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n}c_v\xi^v\in R[[\xi_1,...,\xi_n]]:|c_v|\to 0\},$$

and

$$\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger:=\{\sum_{v\in\mathbb{N}^n}c_v\xi^v\in R[[\xi_1,...,\xi_n]]:\exists\varepsilon>0,|c_v|p^{\varepsilon|v|}\to 0\}.$$

It is well know that $\mathbb{T}_n$ is complete with respect to the Gauss norm. There is also a Gauss norm over $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$. But $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$ is not complete with respect to this norm.

Proposition 1.([8]) *$\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$ satisfies Weierstrass preparation and division.*

As a consequence, $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$ is noetherian and flat over $R[\xi_1,...,\xi_n]$.

Definition. *A weakly complete finitely generated (w.c.f.g.) algebra A over R is a homomorphic image of some $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$. While, a complete finitely generated (c.f.g.) algebra $\check{A}$ over R is a homomorphic image of some $\mathbb{T}_n$.*

2.2 Faithful flat of $\breve{A}$ over A

Let A be a neotherian ring with I an ideal of A. Then the I-adic completion $\breve{A}$ of A is flat over A.

In this subsection, we need to consider when $\breve{A}$ is a faithful flat over A. For this, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.([7]) *Let A be a neotherian ring with an adic topology, and let I be an ideal of definition. Then the following is equivalent.*

(1). A is a Zariski ring, i.e., every ideal is closed in it.

(2). $I \subseteq rad(A)$.

(3). Every finite A-module M is separated in the I-adic topology.

(4). In every finite A-module M, every submodule is closed in the I-adic topology.

(5). The completion $\breve{A}$ of A is faithful flat over A.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *A w.c.f.g. algebra A over R is a Zariski ring.*

Proof. It is enough to show that the lemma holds when $A = \mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$.

For every $f \in \mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$, let us show $1-\pi f$ is invertible. In fact, we only need to show $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (\pi f)^n \in \mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$. Let $f = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha \xi^\alpha$. Since $f \in \mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$, there are two positive numbers M and ε such that $|a_\alpha| < Mp^{-\varepsilon|\alpha|}$. Therefore, there is a positive integer N such that when $|\alpha| \geq N$, $|a_\alpha| < p^{-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\alpha|}$.

Let $\varepsilon' = \min(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \frac{-\log_p|\pi|}{N})$ and $\pi f = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} a'_\alpha \xi^\alpha$. Then

$$|a'_\alpha| < p^{-\varepsilon'|\alpha|}. \qquad (1)$$

It is easy to show $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (\pi f)^n$ converges in $R[[\xi_1, ..., \xi_n]]$. Let $\sum_{n=1}^\infty (\pi f)^n = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} b_\alpha \xi^\alpha$. Then from fomula (1), we know $|b_\alpha| < p^{-\varepsilon'|\alpha|}$. Therefore, $\sum_{n=0}^\infty (\pi f)^n \in \mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$ as desired. □

Now, the following proposition is easily deduced from Lemma 1 and Theorem 1.

Proposition 2. *Let A be a w.c.f.g. algebra with $\breve{A}$ its completion according to the π-adic topology. Then $\breve{A}$ is a faithful flat A-algebra and $A \to \breve{A}$ is universal injective.*

Let A be a w.c.f.g. algebra with $f \in A$. Let us define $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ to be $A\langle\xi\rangle^\dagger/(f\xi-1)$. Then $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ is a w.c.f.g. algebra. If f, g are two elements in A such that $f - g \in \pi A$, then $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ is canonically isomorphic to $A\langle g^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *$A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ is a flat A-algebra.*

Proof. It is well know that $A_{f^{-1}}$ is a flat A-algebra. $A_{f^{-1}}$ and $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ have the same π-adic completion B. B is a flat $A_{f^{-1}}$-algebra and is a faithful flat $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$-algebra according to Proposition 2. Therefore, $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ is a flat A-algebra. □

From now on, we always write $\breve{A}$ for the π-adic completion of a w.c.f.g. R-algebra A.

Let $A_\lambda = A \otimes_R (R/\pi^\lambda R)$.

Proposition 4. *Let $\varphi : A \to B$ be a morphism of w.c.f.g. R-algebras. And let M be a finite B-module. Then M is (faithful) flat over A if and only if $M \otimes_R R_\lambda$ is (faithful) flat over $A \otimes_R R_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Its proof is similar to that in [3] Lemma 1.6.

Let A be a flat w.c.f.g. algebra over R. Let f be an element of A with $f_1, ..., f_r \in (f)$. Assume that

$$\overline{f} \in \sqrt{(\overline{f_1}, ..., \overline{f_r})} \qquad (*).$$

Then we have the following corollary of Proposition 4.

Corollary 1. $\prod\limits_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ *is faithful flat over* $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$.

If A is a flat w.c.f.g. R-algebra, then both $A \to A \otimes_R K$ and $\breve{A} \to \breve{A} \otimes_R K$ are injective. Moreover, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *In* $\breve{A} \otimes_R K$, *the intersection of* $A \otimes_R K$ *and* $\breve{A}$ *is* A.

Proof. Since A is a Zariski ring, every ideal I of A is closed in A. So $I\breve{A} \cap A = I$. See [10] for more details.

For any $\frac{a}{\pi^n} \in A \otimes K$ with $a \in A$, if $\frac{a}{\pi^n} \in \breve{A}$, then $a\breve{A} \subseteq \pi^n \breve{A}$. We get $aA \subseteq \pi^n A$. Then $\frac{a}{\pi^n} \in A$ as desired. □

2.3 Dagger rigid geometry

We can construct a theory of rigid geometry using dagger affinoid algebras over K instead of affinoid algebras.

Definition. *A dagger affinoid algebra over* K *is a homomorphic image of some* $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K$.

There is a one to one correspondence between prime ideals of $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K$ and prime ideals of $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$ that do not contain π. The same assertion holds if we use $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K$ and $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$ instead of $\mathbb{T}_n \otimes_R K$ and $\mathbb{T}_n$, respectively. Since $\mathbb{T}_n$ is faithful flat over $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger$, every (maximal) prime ideal of $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K$ is the restriction of a prime (maximal) ideal of $\mathbb{T}_n \otimes_R K$. Moreover, if I is an ideal of $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K$, then $I = I(\mathbb{T}_n \otimes_R K) \cap (\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}^{\text{v}}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{T}_n \otimes_R K$, then $\mathbb{T}_n \otimes_R K/\mathcal{M}^{\text{v}}$ is an algebraic extension of K. So the restriction of $\mathcal{M}^{\text{v}}$ to $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K$ is again a maximal ideal. Since $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K$ is dense in $\mathbb{T}_n \otimes_R K$, two different maximal ideals have different restrictions over $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K$. Therefore, $\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K$ and $\mathbb{T}_n \otimes_R K$ have the same maximal spectral. Let $B = \mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K/I$, and let I^{v} be $I(\mathbb{T}_n \otimes_R K)$. Then $I = I^{\text{v}} \cap (\mathbb{T}_n^\dagger \otimes_R K)$. Let $B^{\text{v}} = \mathbb{T}_n \otimes_R K/I^{\text{v}}$, then $B \to B^{\text{v}}$ is injective. And there is a one to one correspondence between $\mathrm{Spm}(B)$ and $\mathrm{Spm}(B^{\text{v}})$. Moveover B^{v} is the completion of B according to the maximal spectral.

We can define Weierstrass domains, Laurent domains and rational domains for $X = \mathrm{Spm}(B)$, and then define Grothendieck topology for X. We use X^{v} to denote $\mathrm{Spm}(B^{\text{v}})$.

Definition.

(i). *A subset in* X *of type*

$$X(f_1, ..., f_r) := \{x \in X : |f_i(x)| \leq 1\}$$

for $f_1, ..., f_r \in B$ *is called a Weierstrass domain in* X.

(ii). *A subset in* X *of type*

$$X(f_1, ..., f_r, g_1^{-1}, ..., g_s^{-1}) := \{x \in X : |f_i(x)| \leq 1; |g_j(x)| \geq 1\}$$

for $f_1, ..., f_r, g_1, ..., g_s \in B$ *is called a Laurent domain in* X.

(iii). *A subset in X of type*

$$X(\frac{f_1}{f_0}, ..., \frac{f_r}{f_0}) := \{x \in X : |f_i(x)| \leq |f_0(x)|\}$$

for $f_0, ..., f_r \in B$ without common zero is called a rational domain in X.

If $f'_1, ..., f'_r, g'_1, ..., g'_s$ are all nonzero elements in B^{v}, choose $f_1, ..., f_r$, $g_1, ..., g_s \in B$ such that $\| f_i - f'_i \|_{max} \leq 1$, $\| g_i - g'_i \|_{max} \leq 1$. Then

$$X(f_1, ..., f_r) = X^{\mathrm{v}}(f'_1, ..., f'_r),$$

and

$$X(f_1, ..., f_r, g_1^{-1}, ..., g_s^{-1}) = X^{\mathrm{v}}(f'_1, ..., f'_r, g_1'^{-1}, ..., g_s'^{-1}).$$

Assume $f'_0, ..., f'_r \in B^{\mathrm{v}}$ have no common zero. Let U_i^{v} denote $X^{\mathrm{v}}(\frac{f'_0}{f'_i}, ..., \frac{f'_r}{f'_i})$. Then there is a positive number ε such that $|f'_i(x)| > \varepsilon$ for any $x \in U_i^{\mathrm{v}}$. Choose $f_0, ..., f_r \in B$ such that $\| f_i - f'_i \|_{max} < \varepsilon$, then

$$U_i^{\mathrm{v}} = X(\frac{f_1}{f_0}, ..., \frac{f_r}{f_0}).$$

Therefore, we can define the Grothendieck topology for $\mathrm{Spm}(B)$ such that it has the same Grothendieck topology as $\mathrm{Spm}(B^{\mathrm{v}})$.

We can get Tate's acyclic theorem for dagger affinoid algebras in the same way as in [1] or as in [2].

Let A be a flat w.c.f.g. algebra over R. Let $B = A \otimes_R K$ and $X = \mathrm{Spm}(B)$. Let f be an element of A with $f_1, ..., f_r \in (f)$. If we have $\overline{f} \in \sqrt{(\overline{f_1}, ..., \overline{f_r})}$ in $A \otimes_R k$, then $\{X(f_i^{-1})\}_{i=1}^{r}$ is a finite affine covering of $X(f^{-1})$. Therefore as a consequence of Tate's acyclic theorem, we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \to A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_R K \to \prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_R K \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} A\langle (f_i f_j)^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_R K. \quad (2)$$

Proposition 5. *For any A-module M, the following sequence is exact*

$$0 \to A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_A M \to \prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_A M \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} A\langle (f_i f_j)^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_A M. \quad (3)$$

Proof. (i). We have the following commutative diagram ($\otimes = \otimes_A$ in this proof)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \prod_{i,j} A\langle (f_i f_j)^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes M \arrow[d] \\
0 \arrow[r] & (A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger})^{\mathrm{v}} \otimes M \arrow[r] & \prod_i (A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger})^{\mathrm{v}} \otimes M \arrow[r] & \prod_{i,j} (A\langle (f_i f_j)^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger})^{\mathrm{v}} \otimes M.
\end{tikzcd}$$

From the theory of formal schemes, we know the second line is exact. From Proposition 2, we see all vertical maps are injective. From Corollary 1, we get that $0 \to A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes M \to \prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes M$ is exact. Therefore, to show the first line is exact, it is enough to show that $(\prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \otimes M) \cap ((A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}} \otimes M) = A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \otimes M$.

(ii). From formula (2), the second line of the above commutative diagram (with $M = A$) and Lemma 2, we see when $M = A$, the first line of the above commutative diagram is also exact. Then $(\prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger) \cap (A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}} = A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$.

(iii). Let A' be the sum of $(A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}}$ and $\prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ in $\prod_i (A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}}$. Then A' is a Zariski ring, since it is a quotient of the Zariski ring $(A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}} \times \prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$. A' is dense in $\prod_i (A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}}$, so $(A')^{\mathrm{v}} = \prod_i (A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}}$. Therefore $\prod_i (A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}}$ is faithful flat over A' and $A' \to \prod_i (A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}}$ is universal injective. We see that A' is a flat A-algebra.

Then both

$$0 \to A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \otimes M \to (\oplus_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \otimes M) \oplus (A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}} \otimes M \xrightarrow{\mathrm{p}} A' \otimes M \to 0$$

with $p : (a, b) \mapsto a - b$, and

$$0 \to A' \otimes M \to \prod_i (A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}} \otimes M$$

are exact. So we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \to A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \otimes M \to (\oplus_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \otimes M) \oplus (A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}} \otimes M \to \prod_i (A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}} \otimes M.$$

Then we obtain $\prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \otimes M \cap (A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)^{\mathrm{v}} \otimes M = A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \otimes M$ as desired. □

3 Dagger formal schemes

3.1 Theory of Čech cohomology

In this subsection, we recall the theory of Čech cohomology. We follow [9] closely.

Let X be a (compact) topology space. Let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the category of sheaves over X, and let $\mathcal{P}$ denote the category of persheaves over X. Let $i : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{P}$ denote the natural inclusion functor.

Let us consider the right derived functors of i, and define

$$\mathcal{H}^q(\cdot) := R^q i().$$

Proposition. *For any abelian sheaf F over X, and any open subset U of X, we have*

$$\mathcal{H}^q(F)(U) = H^q(U, F).$$

Let $\{U_i \to U\}_{i \in I}$ be a covering. For any presheaf F over X, we define a complex $\{\check{C}^q\}$ by

$$\check{C}^q(\{U_i \to U\}, F) := \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_q} F(U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_q})$$

with $d^q : \check{C}^q(\{U_i \to U\}, F) \to \check{C}^{q+1}(\{U_i \to U\}, F)$ defined by

$$(d^q s)_{i_0 \cdots i_{q+1}} = \sum_{v=0}^{q+1} (-1)^v s_{i_0 \cdots \hat{i_v} \cdots i_{q+1}}.$$

Now, we define

$$\check{H}^q(\{U_i \to U\}, F) := \mathrm{Ker}(d^q)/\mathrm{Im}(d^{q-1}).$$

Proposition. *There is a canonical isomorphism between* $\check{H}^q(\{U_i \to U\}, F)$ *and* $R^q\check{H}^0(\{U_i \to U\}, F)$.

We have the following theorem.

Theorem. (Spectral sequence for Čech cohomology)

Let $\{U_i \to U\}$ *be a covering. For each* $F \in \mathcal{S}$, *there is a spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{pq} = \check{H}^p(\{U_i \to U\}, \mathcal{H}^q(F)) \Rightarrow E^{p+q} = H^{p+q}(U, F)$$

which is functorial in F.

Let $\mathfrak{U}$ be a family of open subsets of X such that

(i) The intersection of two open subsets in $\mathfrak{U}$ is in $\mathfrak{U}$ again,

(ii) Each finite covering of an open subset of X has a refinement consisting of sets in $\mathfrak{U}$.

Let $\{U_i \to U\}$be a covering. If $U \in \mathfrak{U}$ and $U_i \in \mathfrak{U}$, we call it a covering in $\mathfrak{U}$.

We define $\mathfrak{U}$-presheaves for X.

Definition. *A* $\mathfrak{U}$*-presheaf over* X, *is a collection of abelian groups* $F(U)$ *for* $U \in \mathfrak{U}$, *and a collection of restriction morphisms* $res_U^V : F(V) \to F(U)$ *for each* $U \subset V$ *such that* $res_U^V res_V^W = res_U^W$ *for* $U \subset V \subset W$ *and* $res_U^U = id$. *Let* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ *denote the category of* $\mathfrak{U}$*-presheaves.*

Let $j_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be the natural functor from $\mathcal{P}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{U}}$, then $j_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is exact. Let $i_{\mathfrak{U}} = j_{\mathfrak{U}} \circ i$.

Definition. *An abelian sheaf* F *over* X *is called* $\mathfrak{U}$*-flabby if* $\check{H}^q(\{U_i \to U\}, i_{\mathfrak{U}}(F)) = 0$ *for* $q > 0$ *and each covering in* $\mathfrak{U}$.

Proposition 6. i). *Let* $0 \to F' \to F \to F''$ *be an exact sequence in* $\mathcal{S}$. *If* F' *is* $\mathfrak{U}$*-flabby, the sequence is exact in* $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ *as well.*

ii). *Let* $0 \to F' \to F \to F''$ *be an exact sequence in* $\mathcal{S}$. *If* F' *and* F *are* $\mathfrak{U}$*-flabby, so is* F''.

iii). *If the direct sum* $F \oplus G$ *of abelian sheaves is* $\mathfrak{U}$*-flabby, so is* F.

iv). *Injective abelian sheaves are* $\mathfrak{U}$*-flabby.*

Corollary 2. *For an abelian sheaf* F *over* X, *the following are equivalent.*

i). F *is* $\mathfrak{U}$*-flabby.*

ii). *For all* $q > 0$ *and* $U \in \mathfrak{U}$, *we have* $\mathcal{H}^q(F)(U) = 0$ *and therefore* $H^q(F)(U) = 0$.

3.2 Dagger formal schemes

Let A be a flat w.c.f.g. algebra over R. In this subsection, we define $\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$.

$\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$ is a topology space together with a structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$. The topology space is the underlying space X of $\mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes_R k)$.

For any $p \in X$, let us define $\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger = \varinjlim_{\overline{f} \notin p} A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$. Since $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ is flat over A, $\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger$ is flat over A, too. Let us define $\mathcal{O}^\dagger(U)$ to be the set of functions $s : U \to \coprod_{p \in U} \mathcal{O}_p^\dagger$ $(s(p) \in \mathcal{O}_p^\dagger)$ with the property that for each $p \in U$, there is a $f \in A$ $(\overline{f} \notin p)$ such that for any $q \in X_{\overline{f}} \cap U$, $s(q)$ is the image of an element of $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ in $\mathcal{O}_q^\dagger$.

It is obvious that $\mathcal{O}^\dagger$ is a sheaf.

Proposition 7. *For any f in A, we have $\mathcal{O}^\dagger(X_{\overline{f}}) = A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$.*

Proof. We can define a homomorphism $\rho : A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \to \mathcal{O}^\dagger(X_{\overline{f}})$ in the natural way.

(1) At first, we show ρ is injective. If $g, h \in A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ such that $\rho(g) = \rho(h)$, then for any $p \in X_{\overline{f}}$, g and h have the same image in $\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger$. Then by the definition of $\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger$, there is a $f_p \in (f)$ $(\overline{f}_p \notin p)$ such that g and h have the same image in $A\langle f_p^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$. We choose a finite number $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of $\{f_p\}$ such that $\{X_{\overline{f}_i}\}$ is a covering of $X_{\overline{f}}$. Then from proposition 5, we obtain

$$0 \to A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \to \prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} A\langle (f_i f_j)^{-1}\rangle^\dagger. \tag{4}$$

So we have $g = h$.

(2) Now we prove ρ is surjective. Let s be a section of $\mathcal{O}^\dagger(X_{\overline{f}})$. By definition, for any point $p \in X_{\overline{f}}$, there is a $f_p \in (f)$ $(\overline{f}_p \notin p)$ and $g \in A\langle f_p^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ such that for any $q \in X_{\overline{f}_p}$, $s(q)$ is the image of g in $\mathcal{O}_q^\dagger$.

We can choose a finite subset $\{f_i\}$ of $\{f_p\}$ such that $\{X_{\overline{f}_i}\}$ is a covering of $X_{\overline{f}}$. For each f_i, there is a $g_i \in A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ such that $s(q)$ is the image of g_i in $\mathcal{O}_q^\dagger$ for each $q \in X_{\overline{f}_i}$.

Since $\rho|_{A\langle (f_i f_j)^{-1}\rangle^\dagger}$ is injective, g_i and g_j have the same image in $A\langle (f_i f_j)^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$. Then from formula (4), we know $s \in \mathrm{Im}(\rho)$. □

Corollary 3. *For a w.c.f.g. algebra A over R, we have $\mathcal{O}^\dagger(\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)) = A$.*

We call such a pair $(\mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes_R k), \mathcal{O}^\dagger)$ an affine dagger formal scheme $\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$.

Corollary 4. *Let $U \subset X = \mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$ be an open subset with $\{X_{\overline{f}_i}\}$ a covering of U. Then we have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^\dagger(U) \to \prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} A\langle (f_i f_j)^{-1}\rangle^\dagger.$$

Proposition 8. *Affine dagger formal schemes are locally ringed spaces.*

Proof. Let $X = \mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$. From Proposition 7, we know for each $p \in X$, the stalk of $\mathcal{O}_X^\dagger$ at p is $\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger$. We need to prove $\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger$ is a local ring.

Since $\pi \in \mathrm{rad}(A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)$, and $\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger$ is the limit of $A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger$ $(\bar{f} \notin p)$, we see $\pi \in \mathrm{rad}(\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger)$. Since $\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger/\pi\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger$ is the stalk of $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger \otimes_R k$ at p, it is a local ring. Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_p^\dagger$ is also a local ring. □

Now we can define dagger formal schemes.

Definition. *A dagger formal scheme is a locally ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger)$ in which every point has an open neighborhood U, such that $(U, \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger|U)$ is* *an affine dagger formal scheme. A morphism of dagger formal schemes is a morphism as locally ringed spaces.*

For a given A-module M, we can associate to it a sheaf $M^{\triangle}$ of $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(A)}$-modules over $\mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(A)$ (say simply a $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(A)}$-module).

For $U \subset \mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(A)$, define $M^{\triangle}(U)$ to be the set of functions $s : U \to \coprod_{p \in U} \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{p} \otimes_A M$ $(s(p) \in \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{p} \otimes_A M)$ with the property that for each $p \in U$, there is a $f \in A$ $(\overline{f} \notin p)$ such that for each $q \in X_{\overline{f}} \cap U$, $s(q)$ is the image of an element of $(A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger}) \otimes_A M$. By definition, we know that $M^{\triangle}$ is a $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{\mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(A)}$-module.

Proposition 9. *For any $f \in A$, we have*

$$M^{\triangle}(X_{\overline{f}}) = A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_A M.$$

Using the exact sequence given in Proposition 5, we can prove this proposition in the same way as Proposition 7.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger}$ be $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \otimes_R K$, and $\widetilde{M}^{\triangle}$ be $M^{\triangle} \otimes_R K$.

Now we can define coherent $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{X}$-modules and quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{X}$-modules as in scheme case. We have the following proposition.

Proposition. *Let $h : X \to Y$ be a morphism of Noetherian dagger formal schemes over R. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{Y}$-modules and $\mathcal{F}$ be $\mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{X}$-modules.*

(i). *If $\mathcal{G}$ is quasi-coherent, then $h^{*}(\mathcal{G})$ is also quasi-coherent.*

(ii). *If $\mathcal{G}$ is coherent, then $h^{*}(\mathcal{G})$ is also coherent.*

(iii). *If $\mathcal{F}$ is quasi-coherent, then $h_{*}(\mathcal{F})$ is also quasi-coherent.*

Let A be a w.c.f.g. algebra over R with $f \in A$ and $f_1, ..., f_r \in (f)$ satisfy formula $(*)$ given in section 2. Let $U = X_{\overline{f}}$ and $U_i = X_{\overline{f}_i}$. Then Tate's acyclic theorem says

$$0 \to A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_R K \to \prod_i A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_R K \to \check{C}^1(\{U_i \to U\}, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger}) \to \cdots \to 0.$$

Since $\check{C}^i(\{U_i \to U\}, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger})$ are all flat A-modules, we get

$$0 \to (A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_R K) \otimes_A M \to \prod_i (A\langle f_i^{-1}\rangle^{\dagger} \otimes_R K) \otimes_A M \to \check{C}^1(\{U_i \to U\}, \widetilde{M}^{\triangle}) \to \cdots \to 0.$$

Therefore we obtained the following proposition.

Proposition 10. *For $j > 0$, we have $\check{H}^j(\{U_i \to U\}, \widetilde{M}^{\triangle}) = 0$.*

As a consequence of this proposition and Corollary 2, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 11. *Let $X = \mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(A)$. For $i > 0$, we have $H^i(X_{\overline{f}}, \widetilde{M}^{\triangle}) = 0$.*

3.3 Morphism

In this subsection, we study morphisms of dagger formal schemes.

Lemma 3. *Every homomorphism of w.c.f.g R-algebras is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\varphi : A_1 \to A_2$ be a homomorphism of w.c.f.g R-algebras. From $\varphi(\pi^i A_1) \subset \pi^i A_2$, we see φ is continuous. □

Proposition 12. *Let A, B be two w.c.f.g. R-algebras.*

(i). *If $\rho : A \to B$ is a homomorphism of R-algebras, then ρ induces a natural morphism of locally ringed spaces*

$$(\varphi, \varphi^\sharp) : (\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(B), \mathcal{O}_B^\dagger) \to (\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A), \mathcal{O}_A^\dagger)$$

(ii). *Every morphism of locally ringed spaces from* $\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(B)$ *to* $\mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$ *is induced by a R-morphism $\rho : A \to B$as in* (i).

Proof. (i) is easy. We only need to prove (ii).

Let $(\varphi, \varphi^\sharp)$ be a morphism of locally ringed spaces from $Y = \mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(B)$ to $X = \mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$. It induces a morphism $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\varphi}^\sharp)$ of locally ringed spaces from $\bar{Y} = \mathrm{Spec}(B \otimes_R k)$ to $\bar{X} = \mathrm{Spec}(A \otimes_R k)$. It is well know that $(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}^\sharp)$ is induced from a homomorphism $\bar{\rho}$ of k-algebras $A \otimes_R k \to B \otimes_R k$. Therefore, we see for any $f \in A$

$$\varphi(Y_{\bar{f}}) = X_{\overline{\rho(f)}}. \tag{5}$$

Let ρ be $\varphi^\sharp(Y) : A \to B$. From (5), we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d] & B \arrow[d] \\
A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger \arrow[r, "\varphi^\sharp(X_{\bar{f}})"] & B\langle \rho(f)^{-1}\rangle^\dagger.
\end{tikzcd}$$

From lemma 3, we know ρ_f and $\varphi^\sharp(X_{\bar{(f)}})$ are continuous. Since they restrict to the dense subalgebra A_f are the same, they are the same. □

Let k be a finite field with q elements. Assume $R = \mathrm{W}(k)$. There are Frobenius actions on k-algebras $A \to A$ $(x \mapsto x^q)$, and on k-schemes.

A R-morphism F from a dagger formal scheme X to itself is called a Frobenius of X if it induces the Frobenius over $\bar{X} = X \otimes_R k$.

By definition, a Frobenius over X is equivalent to a collection $\{\mathrm{F}_U\}$ of homomorphisms $\mathrm{F}_U : \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger(U) \to \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger(U)$ for each $U \subset X$ such that $\mathrm{F}_U \otimes_R k$ is the Frobenius of $\mathcal{O}_X^\dagger(U) \otimes_R k$ and the following diagram is commutative $(U \subset V)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{O}_X^\dagger(V) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{F}_V"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{res}"'] & \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger(V) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{res}"'] \\
\mathcal{O}_X^\dagger(U) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{F}_U"] & \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger(U).
\end{tikzcd}$$

3.4 Product

Let A and B be two flat w.c.f.g. algebras over R. In this subsection, we define $A\hat{\otimes}_R^\dagger B$ which is also a w.c.f.g. algebra.

Lemma 4. *Let C be a finite generated R-algebra with $\breve{C}$ its π-adic completion. Let D be a c.f.g. algebra. Then any homomorphism $\varphi : C \to D$ extends to a unique homomorphism $\varphi^{\mathrm{v}} : \breve{C} \to D$. Moreover when φ is injective, φ^{v} is also injective.*

Proof. Existence of φ^{v} comes from $\breve{C} = \varprojlim C/\pi^i C$ and $D = \varprojlim D/\pi^i D$. For uniqueness of φ^{v}, one only needs to note that a homomorphism of two c.f.g. R-algebras is continuous. □

We know $A = \varinjlim A_n^{\mathrm{v}}$ with A_n^{v} c.f.g. algebras over R such that $A_n^{\mathrm{v}} \to A_{n+1}^{\mathrm{v}}$ is injective. Since torsion free R-modules are flat over R, A_n^{v} are all flat over R. The same holds for B.

Then we define $A\hat{\otimes}_R^{\dagger} B = \varinjlim (A_n^{\mathrm{v}} \hat{\otimes} B_m^{\mathrm{v}})$.

By Lemma 4, if $A = \varinjlim A_n^{\mathrm{v}}$ and $A = \varinjlim {A'}_n^{\mathrm{v}}$, then A_n^{v} is contained in some ${A'}_{m'}^{\mathrm{v}}$ and A_m^{v} is contained in some ${A'}_{n'}^{\mathrm{v}}$. Therefore, the definition of $A\hat{\otimes}_R^{\dagger} B$ does not depend on the choice of $\{A_n^{\mathrm{v}}\}$ and $\{B_m^{\mathrm{v}}\}$.

Assume $A = \mathrm{T}_n^{\dagger}/I_1$ and $B = \mathrm{T}_m^{\dagger}/I_2$. Let $i_n : \mathrm{T}_n^{\dagger} \to \mathrm{T}_{n+m}^{\dagger}$ be the homomorphism maps variants of $\mathrm{T}_n^{\dagger}$ to first n variants, and let $j_m : \mathrm{T}_m^{\dagger} \to \mathrm{T}_{n+m}^{\dagger}$ be the homomorphism maps variants of $\mathrm{T}_n^{\dagger}$ to last m variants. Then $A\hat{\otimes}_R^{\dagger} B = \mathrm{T}_{n+m}^{\dagger}/I$ with I the ideal of $\mathrm{T}_{n+m}^{\dagger}$ generated by $i_n(I_1)$ and $j_m(I_2)$.

Let $i_A : A \to A\hat{\otimes}_R^{\dagger} B$ and $j_B : B \to A\hat{\otimes}_R^{\dagger} B$ be two canonical inclusion homomorphisms. Let C be a w.c.f.g. algebra over R.

Lemma 5. *If $\varphi_1 : A \to C$ and $\varphi_2 : B \to C$ are two homomorphisms of R-algebras, then there is a unique homomorphism $\varphi : A\hat{\otimes}_R^{\dagger} B \to C$ such that $\varphi \circ i_A = \varphi_1$ and $\varphi \circ j_B = \varphi_2$.*

Proof. Assume that $A = \varinjlim A_n^{\mathrm{v}}$, $B = \varinjlim B_m^{\mathrm{v}}$ and $C = \varinjlim C_l^{\mathrm{v}}$ as in section 3.4. Then there is a l, such that $\varphi_1(A_n^{\mathrm{v}})$ and $\varphi_2(B_m^{\mathrm{v}})$ are contained in C_l^{v}. By Lemma 4, there is a $\varphi_{n,m} : A_n^{\mathrm{v}} \hat{\otimes} B_m^{\mathrm{v}} \to C_l^{\mathrm{v}}$, such that $\varphi_{n,m} \circ i_A = \varphi_1|_{A_n}$ and $\varphi_{n,m} \circ j_B = \varphi_2|_{B_m}$. Taking limit, we get what we desire. □

For $X = \mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(A)$ and $Y = \mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(B)$, we can define $X \times Y = \mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(A\hat{\otimes}_R^{\dagger} B)$. By glueing, we can define $X \times Y$ for separated flat dagger formal schemes X and Y.

4 De Rham cohomology and Lefchetz fixed points theorem

4.1 Differential modules and de Rham cohomology

In this subsection, we recall the concept of differentaaial modules.

Let A be a w.c.f.g. algebra over R.

The module of differential forms of A over R is a finite A-module $\Omega^1_{A/R}$ together with a R-derivation $d_{A/R}$, which is universal in the following sense: for any finite A-module M, the canonical map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega^1_{A/R}, M) \to \mathrm{Der}_R(A, M), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ d_{A/R}$$

is bijective.

Let $m : A\hat{\otimes}^{\dagger} A \to A$ be the "diagonal homomorphism" defined by $m(b \otimes b') = bb'$. By Lemma 5, this homomorphism makes sense. Let I be the kernel of m. Then I/I^2 inherits a structure of A-module. Define a map $d : A \to I/I^2$ by $db = 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1 \,(\mathrm{mod} I^2)$.

Proposition 13. *$(I/I^2, d)$ is $(\Omega^1_{A/R}, d_{A/R})$.*

Proof. (1). Let M be a finite A-module. Define $A * M$ by

$$(a_1, m_1) + (a_2, m_2) = (a_1 + a_2, m_1 + m_2)$$

and

$$(a_1, m_1) \cdot (a_2, m_2) = (a_1 a_2, a_1 m_2 + a_2 m_1)$$

for $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $m_1, m_2 \in M$. Then $A * M$ is a w.c.f.g. algebra over R.

It is sufficient to prove this fact in the case $A = \mathrm{T}_n^\dagger$ and $M = \mathrm{T}_n^{\dagger \oplus r}$. In this case, $A * M = \mathrm{T}_{n+r}^\dagger / \sim$, where $\mathrm{T}_{n+r}^\dagger = R\langle \xi_1, ..., \xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, ..., \xi_{n+r} \rangle^\dagger$, and $\sim$ is generated by $\{ \xi_i \xi_j \,| i \geq n+1, j \geq n+1 \}$.

(2). We need to prove the fact that if D is an R-derivation of A into an A-module M, then there is a unique A-linear map $f : I/I^2 \to M$ such that $D = fd$.

(3). Let I' be $\mathrm{Ker}(A \otimes A \to A)$, then $I = I'(A \hat{\otimes}^\dagger A)$. In the following, we prove this assertion.

Since $A = \varinjlim A_n^{\mathrm{v}}$, I is generated by elements in $I_n = \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{A}_n \hat{\otimes} \hat{A}_n \to \hat{A}_n)$ for n large enough. Let $I'_n = \mathrm{Ker}(\hat{A}_n \otimes \hat{A}_n \to \hat{A}_n)$. To show $I = I'(A \hat{\otimes}^\dagger A)$, it is sufficient to show $I_n = I'_n(\hat{A}_n \hat{\otimes} \hat{A}_n)$.

Since $\hat{A}_n \otimes \hat{A}_n$ is dense in $\hat{A}_n \hat{\otimes} \hat{A}_n$, $\hat{A}_n = \hat{A}_n \otimes \hat{A}_n / I'_n$ is dense in $\hat{A}_n \hat{\otimes} \hat{A}_n / I'_n(\hat{A}_n \hat{\otimes} \hat{A}_n)$. Since both of them are c.f.g. algebras, them must be the same. Therefore, $I_n = I'_n(\hat{A}_n \hat{\otimes} \hat{A}_n)$.

(4). By (3), we know I/I^2 is generated by $\{dy | y \in A\}$ as A-module. Then we get the uniqueness of f.

(5). By Lemma 5, from the following two homomorphisms

$$\phi_1 : A \to A * M, \quad \phi_1(x) = (x, 0)$$

and

$$\phi_2 : A \to A * M, \quad \phi_2(x) = (x, D(x)),$$

we get a homomorphism

$$\phi : A \hat{\otimes}^\dagger A \to A * M$$

whose restriction on $A \otimes A$ is

$$\phi(x \otimes y) = (xy, xD(y)).$$

Then $\phi(I') \subseteq M$, and so $\phi(I) \subseteq M$. Since $M^2 = 0$, $\phi(I^2) = 0$. So ϕ induces $\bar{\phi} : (A \hat{\otimes}^\dagger A)/I^2 = A * (I/I^2) \to A * M$ which maps dy to $(0, D(y))$.

Thus the restriction of $\bar{\phi}$ on I/I^2 gives an A-linear map $f : I/I^2 \to M$ such that $f \circ d = D$. □

If $A = \mathrm{T}_n^\dagger = R\langle \xi_1, ..., \xi_n \rangle$, then $\Omega^1_{A/R} = \mathrm{T}_n^\dagger d\xi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathrm{T}_n^\dagger d\xi_n$. If $A = \mathrm{T}_n^\dagger / I$, then $\Omega^1_{A/R} = \Omega^1_{\mathrm{T}_n^\dagger/R} / \sim$ with $\sim$ generated by $I\Omega^1_{\mathrm{T}_n^\dagger/R}$ and $\mathrm{T}_n^\dagger df$ $(f \in I)$.

For a dagger formal scheme X over R, define

$$\Omega^1_{X/R} := \Delta^*(\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2)$$

where $\Delta : X \to X \times X$ is the diagonal map and $\mathcal{I}$ the ideal defining the diagonal map.

From Proposition 13, we know when $X = \mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$, $\Omega^1_{X/R}$ is the sheaf associated to the A-module $\Omega^1_{A/R}$.

We have the following proposition whose proof is the same as [8](2.3).

Proposition 14. *Let X be a flat and separated dagger formal scheme. If $\bar{X} = X \otimes_R k$ is regular, then $\Omega^1_{X/R}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}^\dagger_X$-module with rank* $\dim(\bar{X})$.

When X satisfies the condition of Proposition 14, we define $\Omega^i_{X/R} = \wedge^i \Omega^1_{X/R}$, and get the de Rham complexs $(\Omega^i_{X/R}, d)$ and $(\widetilde{\Omega^i_{X/R}}, \tilde{d})$ with $\widetilde{\Omega^i_{X/R}} = \Omega^i_{X/R} \otimes_R K$. We write d in place of $\tilde{d}$ for simple. Then we define $H^i_{dR}(X, K) := \mathbb{H}^i(X, (\widetilde{\Omega^i_{X/R}}, d))$. From Proposition 11, we see when $X = \mathrm{Spf}^\dagger(A)$, $H^i_{dR}(X, K)$ is exactly the Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology H^i_{MW} defined to be $H^i(\Omega^i_{A/R} \otimes_R K, d))$.

From now on, assume $R = \mathrm{W}(k)$.

When X is a flat and separated dagger formal scheme over R with a Frobenius F such that $\bar{X}$ is regular and integral, then F induces F^* over $H^i_{dR}(X, K)$.

4.2 nuclear operator and operator ψ

4.2.1 nuclear operator

Definition. *A K-linear map $L : M \to M$ is called nuclear, if the following two conditions hold.*
(i). *For every $\lambda \neq 0$ in K^{ac} (the algebraic closure of K) with g the minimal polynomial of λ over K, $\cup(\mathrm{Ker}(g(L)^m))$ is of finite dimension.*
(ii). *The nonzero eigenvalues of L, form a finite set or a sequence with a limit 0.*

From (i), we see $M = V \oplus W$ with V, W vector spaces invariant under L such that $W = \cup(\mathrm{Ker}(g(L)^m))$ and $g(L)$ is bijection over $V = \cap \mathrm{Im}(g(L)^m)$.

We can define trace $\mathrm{tr}(L)$ for nuclear operator L. Let M_l be the sum of the generalized eigenspaces of L with eigenvalues λ ($|\lambda| \geq |\pi|^l$). Then $\dim M_l < \infty$. Define $\mathrm{tr}(L^s) = \lim_{l\to\infty} \mathrm{tr}(L^s|_{M_l})$ for positive integer s, and $\det(1 - tL) = \lim_{l\to\infty} \det(1 - tL|_{M_l})$.

For nuclear operators, we have the following two lemmas.

Lemma 6. *Let $L_i : M_i \to M_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) be nuclear. Assume linear map $\alpha : M_1 \to M_2$ satisfy $\alpha L_1 = L_2 \alpha$. Then the induced maps L_0 on* $\mathrm{Ker}(\alpha)$ *and L_3 on* $\mathrm{Coker}(\alpha)$ *are nuclear. Moreover,*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{3} \det(1 - tL_i)^{(-1)^i} = 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^{3} \mathrm{tr}(L_i^s) = 0,$$

where s is a positive integer.

Lemma 7. *Let L be a K-linear map over M. Assume M_1 is a K-linear subspace of M fixed by L. Then L induces L_1 on M_1 and L_2 on M/M_1. Then L is nuclear if and only if both L_1 and L_2 are nuclear. If so, then we have the following formulas*

$$\det(1 - tL) = \det(1 - tL_1) \cdot \det(1 - tL_2),$$

and

$$\mathrm{tr}(L^s) = \mathrm{tr}(L_1^s) + \mathrm{tr}(L_2^s),$$

where s *is a positive integer.*

4.2.2 Operator ψ

Proposition. ([8]) *Let* $B \subset A$ *denote a finite ring extension of w.c.f.g. algebras. Suppose both* $\bar{A}$ *and* $\bar{B}$ *are regular, and both* A *and* B *are integral and flat over* R*. Then there exists a "trace map"* $tr_{A/B} : \Omega^i(A) \to \Omega^i(B)$*.*

$Tr_{B/A}$ is defined by

$$\Omega^i(A) \to \Omega^i(A) \otimes_A \mathrm{Qt}(A) \underset{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \Omega^i(B) \otimes_A \mathrm{Qt}(A) \xrightarrow[\mathrm{id}\otimes tr]{} \Omega^i(B) \otimes_B \mathrm{Qt}(B).$$

In [8], one shows $Tr_{A/B}$ maps $\Omega_i(A)$ into $\Omega_i(B)$. Moreover, one can show $tr_{A/B}$ commutes with d.

Let A be a w.c.f.g. algebra over R satisfying the above proposition with $\bar{A}$ a regular and integral algebra of dimension n. Let F be a Frobenius over A. Since $[A : \mathrm{F}(A)] = [A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger : \mathrm{F}(A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)] = q^n$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega^i(A) \otimes_R K \arrow[r, "tr"] \arrow[d, "res"'] & \Omega^i(\mathrm{F}(A)) \otimes_R K \arrow[d, "res"'] \\
\Omega^i(A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger) \otimes_R K \arrow[r, "tr"] & \Omega^i(\mathrm{F}(A\langle f^{-1}\rangle^\dagger)) \otimes_R K.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{6}$$

Let X be a flat and integral dagger formal scheme with a Frobenius F and regular and integral reduction $\bar{X}$. From commutative diagram (6), we get a trace map $tr_X : X = (\bar{X}, \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger) \to X^q = (\bar{X}, \mathrm{F}(\mathcal{O}_X^\dagger))$, with $\mathrm{F}(\mathcal{O}_X^\dagger)(U) = \mathrm{F}_U(\mathcal{O}_X^\dagger(U))$ $(U \subset X)$.

We define operator $\psi = \mathrm{F}^{-1} \circ tr_X$:

$$\Omega^i(X, K) \xrightarrow{tr} \Omega^i(X^{(q)}, K) \xleftarrow[\sim]{\mathrm{F}} \Omega^i(X, K).$$

Proposition 15. ([8])

(i). *For any open subset* $U \subset X$, $a \in \mathcal{O}_X^\dagger(U), \omega \in \Omega^i(U, K)$, *we have* $\psi(\mathrm{F}(a)\omega) = a\psi(\omega)$.

(ii). ψ *commutes with* d.

(iii). $\psi \circ F = q^n$.

From [8], we know ψ_U is nuclear for any open subset U of X. Let ψ^* be the linear morphisms on $H^i_{dR}(X, K)$ induced by ψ.

Proposition 16. ([8]) *Let* A *be a flat w.c.f.g. algebra over* R *with* $\bar{A} = A \otimes_R k$ *regular and integral. Then* F^* *is bijection over* $H_{\mathrm{MW}}(A, K)$ *and* $\psi^* = q^n(\mathrm{F}^*)^{-1}$ *is nuclear.*

4.3 Lefchetz fixed points theorem

In [8] (4.1), the following result is stated.

Theorem 2. *Let A be a flat w.c.f.g. algebra over R with $\bar{A} = A \otimes_R k$ regular and integral of dimension n. Let $N(\bar{A})$ denote the number of k-homomorphisms $\bar{A} \to k = \mathbb{F}_q$. Then*

$$N(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}(\psi^* | H^i_{\mathrm{MW}}(A, K)). \tag{7}$$

In this subsection, we show the following generalization of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. *Let X be a flat separated and Noetherian dagger formal scheme with $\bar{X} = X \otimes_R k$ regular and integral of dimension n. Let $N(\bar{X})$ denote the number of k-points of $\bar{X}$. Then ψ^* is nuclear over $H^i_{dR}(X, K)$ and the following formula holds*

$$N(\bar{X}) = \sum (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}(\psi^* | H^i_{dR}(X, K)). \tag{8}$$

When $X = \mathrm{Spf}^{\dagger}(A)$, $H^i_{dR}(X, K) = H_{\mathrm{MW}}(\bar{A}, K)$. So Theorem 2 is a special case of Theorem 3.

Proof. (1). Take an affine covering $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1}^{r}$ of X. Since X is separated, intersections of $\{U_i\}'$s are again affine.

Then from Proposition 11 and EGA III (12.4.7), we obtain

$$\check{\mathbb{H}}^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{U}, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\cdot}) \xrightarrow[\sim]{} \mathbb{H}^{\cdot}(X, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\cdot}) \tag{9}$$

So we can calculate $\mathbb{H}^{\cdot}(X, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\cdot})$ by spectral sequence.

Write $K^{p,q} = \check{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, \widetilde{\Omega}^q)$. Let

$$F^p K^{i,j} = \begin{cases} K^{i,j} & \text{if } i \geq p \\ 0 & \text{if } i \leq p \end{cases}$$

Let $sK^l = \oplus_{p+q=l} K^{p,q}$. We define $sF^pH^{\cdot}$ in a similar way.

Let $E_1^{p,q} = \check{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, H^q(\cdot, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\cdot})) = \check{C}^p(\mathfrak{U}, H^q_{\mathrm{MW}}(\cdot\,))$, then

$$E_1^{p,q} \Longrightarrow \check{\mathbb{H}}^{\cdot}(\mathfrak{U}, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\cdot}) \tag{10}$$

with $F^p \check{\mathbb{H}}^l(\mathfrak{U}, \widetilde{\Omega}^{\cdot}) = \mathrm{Im}(H^l(sF^pK) \to H^l(sK))$.

(2). We show ψ^* is nuclear.

From Proposition 16, we see ψ is nuclear on each term of $E_1^{p,q}$. Then by Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, we obtain that ψ^* is nuclear on each term of $E_m^{p,q}$ with m a positive integer. Therefore, from (10), we see that ψ^* is nuclear on $H^n_{dR}(X, K)$.

(3). From

$$N(\bar{X}) = \sum_{i_0 < \ldots < i_j} (-1)^j N(\bar{U}_{i_1 \ldots i_j})$$

and

$$N(\bar{U}_{i_0 \ldots i_j}) = \sum (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}(\psi^* | H^i_{\mathrm{MW}}(U_{i_0 \ldots i_j}, K)),$$

we get

$$N(\bar{X}) = \sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} \mathrm{tr}(\psi^* | E_1^{p,q}).$$

From Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, we get

$$N(\bar{X}) = \sum_{p,q} (-1)^{p+q} \mathrm{tr}(\psi^* | E_m^{p,q}),$$

for all positive integer m. Then from Lemma 6, Lemma 7 and (10), We get (8). $\square$

From Proposition 16 and (10), we get the following lemma easily.

Lemma 8. F^* *is bijection on* $H^i_{dR}(X, K)$ *and* $\psi^* = q^n (\mathrm{F}^*)^{-1}$.

Theorem 4. *Let X be a flat separated and Noetherian dagger formal scheme with $\bar{X} = X \otimes_R k$ regular and integral of dimension n. Let $N_s(\bar{X})$ denote the number of $\mathbb{F}_{q^s}$-points of $\bar{X}$. Then the following formula holds*

$$N_s(\bar{X}) = \sum (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}((q^n (\mathrm{F}^*)^{-1})^s | H^i_{dR}(X, K)). \tag{11}$$

References

[1] S. Bosch, M. Strauch, Lecture on formal and rigid geometry, work in progress.

[2] S. Bosch, U.Güntzer, R. Remmert, Non-Archemean analysis. Springer,Grundlehren Bd.261, 1984

[3] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, Formal and rigid geometry I. Math. Annalen, 295, 291-317, 1993

[4] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, Formal and rigid geometry III. Math. Annalen, 302, 1-29, 1995

[5] A. Grothendieck, Eléments de Géometrie Algébrique, Publ. Math. IHES 4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32 (1960-1967), Springer, Grundlehren Band 166, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971

[6] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic geometry, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., 1977

[7] H. Matsumura, Commutative algebra, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, inc., 1980

[8] M. van der Put, The cohomology of Monsky and Washnitzer, Société mathématics de france, 23, 33-60, 1986

[9] G. Tamme, Introduction to Etale cohomology. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1994

[10] O. Zariski, P. Samuel, Commutative algebra, Volume II, GTM 29, Springer-Verlag, 1960